

Divine Truth Media Response

Media Response Report

Written By	Jesus (Alan John Miller)
Location Written	Wilkesdale, Queensland, Australia
Date/Time	17 May 2013
Media Outlet	Sydney Morning Herald
Report Date	27th April 2013
Reporter	Matthew Siegel
Subject	"The Messiah Complex"

References

This is a response to an article written by Matthew Siegel, published in April 2013 in the "Good Weekend" supplement of the Sydney Morning Herald, entitled "The Messiah Complex".

The article, together with a video of a telephone interview of Matthew Siegel, can be found on the Internet on the following sites:

<http://www.smh.com.au/national/the-messiah-complex-20130422-2i946.html>

<http://media.canberratimes.com.au/news/national-news/jesus-fails-to-convert-reporter-4233746.html>

<http://www.theage.com.au/national/the-messiah-complex-20130422-2i946.html>

<http://www.brisbanetimes.com.au/national/the-messiah-complex-20130422-2i946.html>

<http://andrewquilty.com/2013/05/jesus-yes-jesus-for-the-good-weekend/>

The parts of the response below that are in quotation marks and italics are quotations either from the newspaper article or the telephone interview by Matthew Siegel.

The full, unedited video of Mary and my interview with Matthew Siegel can be viewed on YouTube [here](#):

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Tjb39Ad02OU&feature=youtu.be>

And the email correspondence that took place between Matthew, Mary and I after the interview can be read here:

http://www.divinetruth.com/PDF/Media/JesusMary/20120920_Matthew_Siegel_Email_Record.pdf

http://www.divinetruth.com/PDF/Media/JesusMary/20120924_Matthew_Siegel_Email_Record_Mary.pdf

All of the talks that I have given that are cited in this response can be found on the [Divine Truth Channel](#) on YouTube (<http://www.youtube.com/user/WizardShak>).

Background

Matthew Siegel visited us in September 2012 for two days. He spent the first day interviewing a group of nine friends of ours at one of their (Luli Faber's) house. He was then given a tour around the area by one of my friends, Joy Harris, who also took him to visit the Cushnie Learning Centre where he interviewed two other friends of mine, Angela and Robert Griffiths. On the second day he visited Mary at our home, where he interviewed us. That interview can be viewed on YouTube here:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Tjb39Ad02OU&feature=youtu.be>.

During each of those interactions Matthew Siegel displayed no interest in hearing what any of the people whom he interviewed had to say. He clearly had his own agenda, and spent the majority of the interviews, which was over seven hours all together with each of the people, questioning predominantly about just three issues - my identity, our choice not to eat meat, and our decision to live with modern technology in our lives. Any attempt to speak about and explain the Divine Truth teachings were dismissed and ignored during the course of the interviews, and this is demonstrated by the amount of untruth and distortion of facts that is presented in the article with regards to the contents of the teachings.

It was clear that he arrived at the interviews with pre-conceived ideas, and aimed just to add fuel to the story he had already created, rather than hearing or learning anything from those he interviewed. He was judgemental, condescending and critical, attempting to label Mary, my friends and I as hypocrites for having modern technology in our lives, or our decision to not eat meat, and never actually listened to any of our responses to those comments. The rest of his interviewing time was so focused on the question of my identity that he negated to listen to any of the substance of the Divine Truth teachings, which I place a much greater importance on than my identity, as do those who listen to me.

In view of this attitude, and the general condescending manner in which Matthew Siegel treated Mary and I and our friends, I cancelled our further scheduled interviews with Matthew Siegel, and Mary and I outlined the reasons for this decision in email correspondence that followed the interview. This email correspondence is available to read on the Divine Truth website here:
http://www.divinetruth.com/PDF/Media/JesusMary/20120920_Matthew_Siegel_Email_Record.pdf
http://www.divinetruth.com/PDF/Media/JesusMary/20120924_Matthew_Siegel_Email_Record_Mary.pdf

As with every other media report that has been written about Mary and I, and the Divine Truth teachings, the facts have been selected and distorted in order to create a picture that the journalist wishes to paint, rather than actually presenting an unbiased report of the truth and allowing the reader to make up their own mind. This article was less sensationalised than other television and Internet article reports by, for example, Channel 7 and Channel 9. However because it is less sensationalised it appears as more reasonable and truthful than the other media reports, but it is not, and it is just as manipulative through the selective presentation of facts, the heavy distortion of those facts and the use of certain language to inflame specific emotional responses in the readers.

I'll respond to each of the lies and misrepresentations that are written in the article and reported by Matthew Siegel in his telephone interview below.

Response

I find the title of the article very interesting. It claims that I have a Messiah complex. I would suggest that although I am the "Messiah" as referred to in the Bible, I certainly do not have a "complex" about it (laughs). Nor do I have any nefarious, narcissistic, egotistical, or megalomaniac tendencies that Mr Siegel has through innuendo or outright suggestion claimed that I have as a personal character flaws.

Insinuations about Divine Truth being a cult

“Miller and his partner, Mary Luck - a former humanitarian aid worker whom he claims is his lover across time and space, Mary Magdalene - are the leaders of a New Age sect called God's Way of Love. “

The first comments that Matthew Siegel writes in the article betray the lack of background research he did for this article. Firstly, Mary Luck is not a "former humanitarian aid worker". She was, and is still qualified to be, an Occupational Therapist, and used her skills abroad in locations such as Beirut, Lebanon, and Scotland. Matthew Siegel did not get this fact correct because he spent little time interviewing Mary, and in fact showed no interest in her at all.

Mary and I are not leaders of a New Age sect. New Age practitioners are just as confronted by our teachings as every other religious group on the planet, and New Age practitioners generally have a large amount of disagreement with many or most of our teachings.

The teachings that we present are the same teachings that I taught two thousand years ago, and describe how people can develop a relationship with God and grow in Love and Truth to the point of at-onement with God and beyond. I now call these teachings "Divine Truth", and not "God's Way of Love" as he claims, because they describe all the Truths of the Universe from God's perspective. This is the absolute Truth of the Universe and is available for anyone to discover if they choose to form a relationship with God.

The Divine Truth teachings differ from New Age teachings in very many ways; just one of those ways, for example, is that many New Age teachings suggest that we can change and grow in love using our minds, whereas the Divine Truth teachings describe development of the soul by forming a relationship with God and clearing errors from our souls, through emotional work.

While Mary and I teach and distribute this information for free, we are not "leaders" of any type of group or sect, because there is no group or sect to lead. We have addressed these accusations in public on our Divine Truth FAQ YouTube channel.

Rather we present our teachings for free through live seminars and through the Internet, primarily using YouTube and the Divine Truth website. We have no day to day involvement with the vast majority of people who listen to the Divine Truth, and rather encourage people to live their own lives and follow their own desires, but to implement developing a relationship with God into each aspect of their lives.

"God's Way of Love" is a separate organisation that Mary and I established in 2011, which was aimed at assisting the implementation of the Divine Truth teachings into every facet of life, for example using these principles to restore degraded environments, or to create arts in way that is harmonious with God's way of Love and Truth. It was a separate organisation from Divine Truth, which is involved in the teaching and distribution of the Divine Truth material. Mary and I chose to close down God's Way of Love in December 2012 due to other priorities and interests in our lives, and because it was taking too much of our personal time to manage.

Media Response Report

The article refers to Mary as my "lover" both in the text and in the caption to the photo of us, purposely using this language to insinuate that it is a temporary arrangement, or that I have a series of "lovers" from my "sect", rather than just using the term partner or girlfriend to describe our relationship. Mary is the only person I have had a relationship with in the past nine years. However you could say the relationship has lasted across time and space, because between the first century and my incarnation in 1962, we were in a relationship for that entire time in the spirit world.

"In order to gain access, I've had to agree to being filmed at all times by two of his followers, a husband-and-wife duo from Ukraine and Russia - footage that Miller said would serve as an unedited and unbiased account of our encounters. When I asked him to reconsider the terms, his emailed reply ran to more than a thousand words: "We have a responsibility to act in a manner that is loving and truthful at all times, and to encourage the same behaviour in others. This is the reason we created the requirements in the Participant Release Form," he wrote. "I am sorry if I somehow indicated that our requirements were negotiable in any way, since they are not."

And so, upon introducing myself to the group of men and women congregating around the front door of the house today - all followers of Miller's - I have not one, but two video cameras thrust in my face."

And in the telephone interview:

"We met about a dozen of his followers but I know that there were a lot more. I was constantly videotaped. Our photographer was constantly videotaped. Everywhere we went we had not one but two camera people following us."

Matthew Siegel complains during his article and the telephone interview that he was not comfortable with the condition that we placed upon the interview with him, and with every other person from the media, that we record and retain an entire copy of the unedited interview for our own use. However, he agreed to that condition in writing before he even came to interview us. He wanted to record us, but complained about our own recording of him.

As a result of my previous dealings with the media, I now place a full, unedited copy of every interview I that I give on the Divine Truth website and on the Divine Truth Channel on YouTube, since I have learnt from my previous experiences, for example with Channel 9 and Channel 7, that the media twist the truth in order to sensationalize their presentation.

After previous media reports were aired by Channel 9 and Channel 7 we were attacked by many people who believed that the media would not lie, and some of these attacks included death threats. When we replied to these people that almost all of the information was untrue, most people refused to believe that the media could be so willing to lie directly without something being done about it. Therefore we record and present a full, unedited version of each interview so that the full truth can be found by any person who wishes to discover it, and the extent of the manipulation by the media can be seen.

It is also not ethical for any person from the media to expect to be able to record what we say and present it in any manner that they choose, and not be willing for us to do the same thing. Matthew Siegel was very challenged by this, and many other comments I made about his unethical treatment of us and our friends during his interview (see the email interactions from after the interview).

He has also used the words "in order to gain access" in the article, which are carefully chosen to incite suspicion in the readers, to make it appear that our lives are secretive and closed. Mary and I are extremely open about everything we do, and place most interactions we have on the Internet in an unedited format for people to see. There is no difficulty in "gaining access" to the

Media Response Report

Divine Truth teachings, since they are all available to watch or listen to for free on the Divine Truth website and on YouTube, and all of the seminars that we give are free, and open to anyone to attend, with no requirement for registration or membership in order to attend.

Comments about the Divine Truth teachings

“Miller's philosophy is not unlike a fractal: the more closely one inspects it, the more complex and esoteric it becomes. He believes that by examining trauma, both in this life and in lives that have been lived previously, one can move up a spiritual ladder, each rung taking you closer to a perfect relationship with God. All of the things that could impede a follower progressing up the ladder - addiction, failed relationships, even cancer and childhood illness, Miller tells me - are brought about by malign spirits who cause us to act in "unloving" ways, ways that displease God and distance us from His love.”

This description is a complete fabrication of what the Divine Truth teachings are actually about. Matthew Siegel has not personally investigated the teachings, and this was clear during the interview we had with him. His comments later that I am claiming that I am God prove that he has not listened to anything I have said, since I am definitely not God, and have said that many times. His claims are based on his assumptions, or other people's statements, which are often just as untrue as his own statements.

Divine Truth teachings are not “my philosophy”; they are God’s Truths that are available for any person to learn. They do not belong to me. The teachings are not complex; the main principles that govern the rest of our existence can be narrowed down to three main points that are simple enough to be understood by a child. These principles are to have a longing to God’s Love, to have a longing for God’s Truth, and to have humility. God designed it this way so that any person of any intelligence or any age is able to connect to Her and form a relationship with God. I describe this in the talk “20111218 Relationship with God - The Way”.

Many people are attracted to the teachings because they are so clear, logical and practical, and not “esoteric” and intangible in the way that many New Age teachings are today. Every aspect of the Divine Truth teachings fits perfectly with every other aspect, as one would expect, since they were designed by God, who has far greater Intelligence and Wisdom than any person on Earth, including myself. The simplicity of the teachings is illustrated by the fact that I can have children sitting happily in my seminars listening to my talks and following what I say just as easily as their parents.

I do believe that to progress towards God we need to examine the emotional trauma within us. However, I do not believe people have had previous lives on earth, and I do not support the teachings of reincarnation as they are current taught on earth. This is another false claim from Matthew Siegel. The vast majority of people on Earth have only had one incarnation, and they only need therefore to release the emotions associated with that life. There are a few, however, including Mary and I, who are in our second incarnation, and we do need to work through emotions associated with our entire lives, both on Earth, in each incarnation, and in the spirit world.

I also do not teach that the things that impact our relationship with God are brought about by malign spirits. The things that impact our relationship with God are the emotional errors that are within our souls based around our inaccurate viewpoints of love, which entered our souls when we were small children, as well as the unloving decisions we have since made as a result of those errors. We now have the responsibility of releasing these errors. It is these emotional errors that create our addictions, that create our failed relationships, and that create all illnesses, whether in adults or children. They open us up to being influenced negatively by

Media Response Report

spirits, but it is not the spirits who create this impedance towards our relationship with God; it is the error that is within our souls, and it is that same error within our souls that causes us to make unloving choices and take unloving actions.

God loves us and everything within the Universe, has no error within His Soul, and therefore has no negative emotions, nor the experience of feeling displeased with any of his children. It is our errors that prevent in the inflow of God's Love into our souls, which God is always waiting to give us, not the fact that we have "displeased God" in some way.

In fact, as is correctly stated later in the article, when it writes:

"God, he [AJ] says reasonably, wants people to be happy. "This concept that God is vengeful, a God who punishes the wicked, that's not my experience of Him and it wasn't my experience of Him in the 1st century," says Miller."

God is not vengeful or punishing, and allows us the free will to decide whether or not we wish to connect to Him to form a relationship. God is never "displeased" by any of our actions, because He has immediately forgiven any actions that we have taken that are unloving. God's Laws automatically correct our unloving behaviour by the consequence of the Law being broken automatically being reflected within our soul, whether we are conscious of that or not.

The fact that Matthew Siegel has not understood or clearly described the teachings is not a reflection of the clarity, or the accessibility of the Divine Truth teachings, but instead reflect the lack of interest he showed during our interviews in discovering anything about them. It was clear when we met him that prior to the interviews he had done no research on the teachings, and had watched or read very little Divine Truth material. During the interviews, whenever we or any of our friends attempted to convey some of the principles of these teachings as part of our answer, he would immediately dismiss the responses and continue an alternative line of questioning that he wished to write a story about, focusing, for example, on my identity or the issue of eating meat, rather than actually listening to anything about the substance of the teachings, which would actually have answered all of his questions fully.

"Miller claims that he has returned to shepherd his flock along a path that will lead them back to God through the performing of good deeds and spiritual exercises. Miller also teaches that each of us comprises one half of a whole spirit, and that only by finding our other half, which he calls a soul mate, can we ever truly feel whole."

I have never claimed that I have "returned to shepherd my flock". I do not see people who listen to me as "my flock", since I see them as God's Children, of which I am one. This is a complete fabrication. I have merely returned to teach the Divine Truth to any person who is interested in growing in Love and Truth and connecting to God. I do not desire them to worship me, as this comment implies, but rather encourage them to practice the principles of Divine Truth in their lives in order to become happier and closer to God. I do not teach that the way to do this is by doing "good deeds and spiritual exercises", although these may help, because to grow towards God we need to clear the reasons why we would have a desire not to do good deeds, and then we will automatically do good deeds without the need to try.

Let's assume that the "spiritual exercises" referred to are the three principles that I described above to connect to God: to have a longing for Divine Love, to have a longing for Divine Truth, and to have humility. Matthew uses the - to use his own words - complex and esoteric terminology of "spiritual exercises" to make it seem like the teachings encourage some kind of bizarre or strange behaviour, when in reality they encourage a person to connect with themselves in a truthful way, which then opens them up to forming a close, truthful personal relationship with God. This is the same way that we can form a close, truthful personal relationship with any other person, be it our husband, wife, or friend.

Media Response Report

There is also error in the way that he describes soulmates. While the Divine Truth is that we are half of a soul, and the other half of us is our soulmate, I have never said that we have to connect to the other half of our soul to feel complete or “whole”. I have often said that we are perfectly able to be perfectly happy completely alone if necessary, if we develop our relationship with God. It is true, however, that to enter the soul union state we do need to reconnect with the other half of our soul, and when we do that we will become one complete soul again.

“The promise of a personal relationship with God; the possibility that our misfortunes are not of our own making and can be corrected with support from Jesus Himself; the potential to find a real and true soul mate together with a strong group code based around proto-Christian ideas of kindness and charity ... put them all together and it becomes possible to see how Miller is capable of attracting a significant following.”

I do not teach that I can correct or relieve other people’s misfortunes, as this comment implies, but I do teach that many of our misfortunes are not of our own making. However we have to take responsibility to release the emotional feelings these misfortunes create our souls that impede our progress in love. I cannot do this for any other person, as Christian religions erroneously teach. I do teach that we can form a personal relationship with God, that we can find and have a relationship with our true soulmate and that we should act in an ethical and loving way towards others if we are to have a happy life. This is God’s Truth that I am sharing, not mine.

The reason it appeals to many is because they can feel within themselves that these teachings make sense, and they have experimented enough with the teachings to have received benefits from what has been taught if they truly engage the Way to God. I am not manipulating people with some kind of utopian fantasy; I am presenting the facts that are available to them, but it will only become a reality if they engage the teachings and make these discoveries in their souls for themselves, rather than just taking my word for it, as many of them have discovered.

Naturally there are similarities between Divine Truth and some Christian teachings, because it was my teachings in the first century that formed the basis of the Christian religion. However these were then heavily distorted by the Bible. Despite that, the messages from the first century about treating others as you would like to be treated did persist, and this ethical message is a strong component of the Divine Truth teachings.

I find these claims quite humorous, since I believe that the Christian faith, and many other faiths on this planet deep problems with logical reasoning. For example, the Christian faith, and the Hindu faith both believe and claim that their God in human form came to the earth through a virgin. I do not see Matthew Siegel complaining about the lack of logic in that belief, perhaps because 70% of Americans would instantly condemn him. It is easier to attack the logical teachings of Divine Truth, because fewer people accept them as true.

Matthew Siegel is being quite condescending to the people who listen to me, suggesting that they have somehow been sucked in by a series of appealing teachings, and that they are unable to discern for themselves the qualities of the teachings. Many of the things I say to people are extremely confrontational, and are very challenging for people to hear because they are personal truths that they need to see within themselves in order to be able to change and grow.

However the media never comment on these aspects, and instead imply that everything I say is what people want to hear, pandering to their fantasies, which is the opposite to what I do.

“The way the Bible is recorded is flawed, continues Miller, and thus the way in which modern Christians practise their religion is also flawed. God’s Way of Love offers an alternative model.”

Media Response Report

As mentioned above, the teachings that I gave in the first century have been heavily distorted in the Bible, and it is a flawed book containing many errors. The Divine Truth teachings do not teach an "alternative model", they teach the Way that God designed for all of Her Children to have a relationship with Her. This is the same Way that I discovered and taught in the first century, but which became distorted by others.

"Miller is doing the ironing when I arrive at his house early the next morning. He and Mary Luck occupy a small ranch-style home off a dirt road on a property that sprawls out into the bush. They sleep, however, in a raised tent - complete with large bed, swish bathroom and a claw-foot porcelain bathtub - set up in the bush about 100 metres or so from the home, supposedly because this is more in keeping with a philosophy of simplicity and favouring spiritual over material wealth."

It is almost surprising that, in view of the fact that probably about three out of the seven hours that Matthew Siegel spent interviewing my friends and Mary and I, was spent debating whether or not we should be living with no home comforts or technology, this topic only got a couple of mentions in the article. Matthew Siegel was determined to attempt to label us hypocrites for living with a level of personal comfort on one hand, but on the other hand criticised two of my friends, Angela and Robert Griffiths, for living simply in a shed at the time while their house was being built.

While I do value spiritual wealth over material wealth, because if we grow spiritually we will automatically have other forms of wealth attracted to us, I also teach and value self-love, which includes looking after one's own comfort. I do not believe it is hypocritical to wish to live with comfort and use modern technology to help distribute Divine Truth, but rather it is an expression of wishing to love ourselves and help others with the materials that we have available to teach them God's Truths which can change the world if followed.

"His landholding is surrounded by dozens of properties purchased by his followers, although towering gum trees and scrub screen it from full view. There are about 100 followers living on the properties around Miller, who also claims to have several thousand followers around the world, mainly in the US and UK."

While there are a number of properties around me that are owned by people who listen to the Divine Truth, I did not encourage them to buy those properties, and nor do have any dealings with the vast majority in their day to day lives. Mary and I live in our own personal space where we like to have privacy and time to ourselves, and this is achieved in the home that we live in.

I also do not have "followers". The Divine Truth teachings are available for any person to watch or listen to on the Internet, and I do not keep track of how many people are doing so. We have in the past distributed around 200,000 DVDs, but since now the material is free to watch on YouTube, we no longer distribute DVDs and therefore do not know how many people listen to the material. Again Matthew Siegel is using the terminology of "followers" to imply that I lead some kind of cult, whereas, as I've explained above, I merely present teachings to those who wish to listen. There is no membership or binding nature to listening to the material - it is freely available to any person who wishes to listen or use the teachings in any way that they please.

Comments about Mary's identity

"Luck has said in recordings of their seminars that when Miller revealed to her that she was Mary Magdalene reincarnated, it was one of the worst experiences of her life. She felt angry and confused by the disclosure. But something has clearly changed over time and now Luck sits at Miller's side, staring at him with a disconcerting degree of intensity."

Media Response Report

“Luck, who is 10 years his junior and a striking beauty despite the dark circles under her eyes, is wearing a cardigan and tugs gently at the ends of its sleeves as Miller talks.”

Again Mr Siegel's claims are false. I never revealed to Mary that she was Mary Magdalene, as I have explained in a number of seminars, such as “20100124 The Human Soul - The Soulmate Relationship S2”. Mary found out from her parents, via some other friends of ours, that I felt that she was my soulmate. I did not tell her directly. Matthew Siegel has written it in a way to suggest that I manipulated Mary into believing that she is Mary Magdalene. I have not convinced Mary that she is Mary Magdalene.

In fact, when we first met, Mary first attempted to convince me that I was not Jesus, while at the same time saying to me that she refused to have any discussions with me about being Mary Magdalene, and I accepted her terms for a friendship. Mary has had to come to terms with her remembering her identity through experiencing her emotions. It is impossible for me to implant emotions and memories into her.

Matthew Siegel then goes on to describe Mary in a way that suggests that I have completely manipulated and controlled her, and that she is somehow brainwashed by me. Mary has her own mind and her own feelings, and is completely able to make up her own mind and discern truth for herself, as she described in her email to Matthew after the interview. However, as described in that email interaction, Matthew didn't show any interest in actually finding out anything about her, but instead made judgements about her character and was dismissive towards her. He's also incorrect about her age; she is currently 34, 16 years younger than me, since I am 50 years old.

Comments about my life and identity

“I think his mission is essentially a fairly selfish one. I think that, and this is just my opinion, but I think that he likes people be differential to him, he likes being in a position of authority, and he likes not having to work for a living, getting people to pay... you know, donate money to him.”

My “mission”, as Matthew calls it, is not a selfish one, and I certainly do not encourage anybody to treat me differently to any one else. In fact I actively discourage and personally find it very distasteful if a person attempts to worship me in anyway, and encourage and teach how we should all love each other equally. My mission is to teach people how they can establish a close personal relationship with God, and be happy for the rest of their existence. I do this work, full time, for free, and gratefully receive donations from people who feel that their lives have benefited from the teachings and wish to support the spread of teachings of the Divine Truth worldwide so that others might benefit. I can't see how teaching others for free about how to become happier is a selfish endeavour, but once again the media twist the truth in order to prey on the fears of the readers that I am exploiting people, when I am not.

I am also not adverse to "work" as any person who spends any time with me would know. I work very hard, and for very many long hours on hundreds of different projects. Many people around me wonder how I manage to get everything done in the time I have available. I am completely comfortable "working for a living" and have done that for the majority of my life from a very young age.

“Kingaroy is home to former computer systems engineer and property developer Alan John "A. J." Miller and a group of about 100 people, including my hosts today, who believe him to be the reincarnation of Jesus Christ.”

“We assemble in the living room, nine of Miller's followers sitting on a pair of sofas opposite each other and me on a bar stool at the edge of the room. Cutlery scrapes on plates in the uncomfortable quiet before anyone speaks.”

Media Response Report

Slowly, a conversation starts up about how each of them has arrived here, what they've left behind and their reasons for believing Miller is the Messiah."

"Conversation turns immediately to the question in which I'm most interested: whether or not Miller can understand why people may not believe that he is Jesus. He understands perfectly, he says, again flashing that high-beam smile. "When I claim I'm Jesus, most people automatically assume that means I'm claiming a lot of things," he says. "They assume it means I'm claiming I'm God, and I'm not. They assume it means that I'm claiming that everyone should listen to me, and I'm not. In fact, I tell people they need to always analyse things through their own experience."

"We must remember that Miller believes he is the reincarnation of Jesus Christ. He claims he has been born twice - most recently on March 10, 1963, in Loxton, South Australia, amid a close-knit farming community on the banks of the Murray River some 246 kilometres east of Adelaide - and previously, more than 2000 years ago, in a manger in Bethlehem, 10 kilometres south of Jerusalem. His parents in this life are Maxine and Alan Miller, both members of the Jehovah's Witnesses church. And in the 1st century his parents were the peasant, Mary of Nazareth, and Yahweh, the God of the Jews."

There are many errors and contradictions in this portion of Mr Siegel's fabrication of my statements. Firstly, and most importantly, I have never claimed that in the 1st century my parents were "Mary of Nazareth, and Yahweh, the God of the Jews". This is a complete fabrication by Matthew Siegel. I have stated categorically that my parents in the first century were Mary and Joseph.

As it actually states in other places in the article, most of the people who listen to me, including my friends who were interviewed by Matthew Siegel, do not believe that I am Jesus, or the Messiah. They have yet to resolve the issue, but they still listen to what I have to say because they can see the value of the teachings. In general they listen to me in spite the fact that I say than I am Jesus, not because of it. As I explain in the quote above, I do understand why it is difficult for people because of the amount of false teachings that are contained in the Christian religion about myself, and in particular my relationship with God, when I am a child of God like every other person on Earth and in the spirit world.

However on the one hand Matthew Siegel states that my friends believe that I am the "reincarnation of Jesus Christ" or the "Messiah", and yet this is directly contradicted by accurate quotes of their responses when they were asked this question:

For Joy:

"Harris seems to have a thing for charismatic leaders. She spent 18 years following American self-help guru Tony Robbins and about \$100,000 on his programs, a decision she regrets. Doesn't her past experience at least raise the possibility in her mind that A. J. is not Jesus, I ask. Her answer is as cryptic as it is brief. "It's possible," she says with a beaming smile, "but it's not likely."

And for Luli:

Does she believe A. J. is Jesus, I ask? "That varies," she answers, laughing. "At the moment, probably not. Not in my heart. Intellectually, it seems like a very likely thing, but I don't know it."

Later on in the article:

"By this time he was in his early 30s, with two young sons and a beautiful wife, and Miller should have felt on top of the world; instead, he was struggling. He says that, for as long as he can remember, he has lived with harrowing memories of his life as the historical Jesus and its culmination in the crucifixion. These memories - of the nails tearing through the flesh of his hands and feet as he was nailed to the cross, the lancing of his side - he found so distressing that he never spoke of them to anyone. Yet they wouldn't be suppressed. He suffered from panic and

Media Response Report

anxiety attacks. "I felt I was going crazy," he says. "I felt it couldn't be possible. I sought professional help, but didn't find it very effective."

And then, at 40, he had what he calls an epiphany. Truths, he says, were revealed to him by God, and as he began the process of writing them down and formulating them, he sold his businesses and some properties he owned and began a process of spiritual examination. He told his mother and his sons for the first time that he believed he was Jesus. They understood, he says, and graciously accepted his "coming out". He began to devote himself to a way of life that would become known as God's Way of Love."

When I started to allow my memories of my first century life, which I did through experiencing the emotions that were blocking those memories when I was 40, I started to remember the Divine Truths that I learnt in the first century and in my time in the spirit world. They came to me immediately as memories as soon as I removed the means by which they were suppressed, by the emotions that until that point I did not want to feel. I did not have to "formulate" the truths; I remembered them. I had already for some years prior been working through my emotions but it was not until this time that I started to engage God in the process and remembered the details of my identity.

When I did, it was not well accepted by my mother and the rest of my family, as the article states. In fact they rejected me for some time, until I worked through the emotions surrounding that. I describe this process in the seminar "Overview of Divine Truth - Secrets of the Universe S2".

"AJ Miller, or Jesus as he likes to be known, became very angry when I questioned him during our interview on a number of tenets of their faith and whether they were consistent or not."

I do not mind how people address me; whether it is as AJ or as Jesus, and I do not insist or prefer that people call me Jesus. It is an exaggeration to say that I became "very angry" during the interview. I did become irritated with Matthew Siegel during our interview, because, as I outlined to him in my email correspondence that followed the interview, I felt frustrated by the constant way in which the media treat me and ask the same questions. It was not a result of him questioning me about my faith or about the teachings, because this was not the focus of the interview, as can be seen in our recorded interview. Instead it was the attempt by Matthew to criticise and judge us for the way we choose to live our lives i.e. using modern technology and having personal comfort. These are not "tenets" of our faith, as he wishes to make out. I apologized to Matthew later for my irritation and after the interview no longer felt any anger towards him.

From the telephone interview:

"No I do not think that he is Jesus and I do not think that she is Mary Magdalene. I think that he's a fairly bright guy who is quite charismatic."

I would have to say having spent time with him, the conclusion that I walked away with was that I don't think that he believes that he is Jesus."

Matthew Siegel is allowed to have his opinion about my and Mary's identity, but he based it on only a few hours in my company, during which he was critical and close minded, and so he was completely closed to the possibility that I am who I say I am and was not open to learning anything about myself, Mary or the Divine Truth teachings beyond his pre-conceived ideas. He is wrong to suggest that I don't think I am Jesus; I know who I am. Since he did not feel I was crazy, he has reverted to the other claim that is acceptable to him; the claim that I am deceitful. Of course all members of the media seem to cycle between these two claims; because they cannot accept the truth that I am who I say I am.

Comments about the Padgett Messages

“James Padgett was a 62-year-old American lawyer who, in 1914, claimed to be receiving messages from his recently deceased wife, which he was able to record using a technique called automatic writing. He would enter a trance and simply write down the messages that were being communicated to him without conscious awareness. The Padgett Messages, which are enjoying something of an internet-fuelled renaissance, are significant for having introduced several spiritual ideologies that outlived Padgett - namely, a belief in the existence of soul mates, in the possibility of life after death and the hope of achieving immortality, and in the idea of Divine Love. Miller has co-opted, almost verbatim, nearly all of these ideas into God's Way of Love.”

I have not “co-opted” the teachings of the Padgett messages into Divine Truth, or “God’s Way of Love”, as Matthew Siegel incorrectly calls it. Many of the messages received by James Padgett were given to him by myself when I was in the spirit world, as well as many other Celestial brothers and sisters, some family members who had passed, friend and acquaintances who had passed, and other historical figures. I learnt the Truths that I gave to James Padgett during the first century and in the spirit world, and hoped that they could be taught on Earth through the channellings. However, the channelled messages did not have as great an impact as we hoped when we were delivering them, and that is one of the reasons that we decided to return to Earth to teach the Divine Truth in person in a physical body form.

I later discovered that one of the reasons that we had given this information to James Padgett was to actually help us, once we had returned to earth, to have a record of these truths on Earth so that we could re-discover the truths that we would forget through the process of returning and the absorption of emotional errors from our parents. It has always been God’s plan for us to return in the way that we have.

Comments about my “followers”

“The people who are there are all there for really different reasons. But I think that if you look at cults, broadly speaking, people are there for sort of the same reasons. I think that they feel disenfranchised. They often have personal or family trauma and that was the case of a number of people there.”

As I’ve explained above, the Divine Truth is not a cult, but are a set of teachings that are freely available to any person should they wish to engage them.

Many people who listen to me do feel disenfranchised with life, but no more so than any other person (or even any more than Mr Siegel seems “disenfranchised” with the world), and they have no greater level of family or personal trauma than others in the world. This comment has been made to suggest that I am preying on emotionally vulnerable people, which other media reports have suggested, but that is not the case. The people who listen to me are open to discovering new truths about their lives and the Universe, and they have a desire to grow in love and become happier in their lives, and that is what draws them to the teachings.

During the interview with my friends Matthew Siegel repeatedly attempted to find or even create feuds that may be present in families of those he interviewed, seeking to find a story where there was none. None of the people that he interviewed are in a feud with their families, and most of them are on good terms with their families. This is in fact illustrated in the article by the quotes by Joy and Luli about their relationships with their families, which directly contradicts what Matthew said in the telephone interview.

“Part of the religion, if that’s what you want to call it, is about having access to him. That’s why people have moved and left their families and moved out into central Queensland, to be near him.”

Media Response Report

The Divine Truth teachings are not a religion, they are a way of life, are about connecting to God, not myself or Mary. I actively discourage any form of worship directed towards myself or Mary, because these feelings should be directed towards God. It is an erroneous Christian teaching that I am part of God and that I should be worshipped. Very few people have regular "access" to me, as Matthew calls it. They live their own lives.

However, it is beneficial on the path to God to have contact with people who are in a higher state of love, because persons who love more are able to help others by pointing out personal truths that are difficult to identify, or by teaching about experiences that have helped them get where they are. This is one of the reasons that people wish to spend time with me, as they recognise that they can learn from me sharing my experiences with them. They did not leave their families to do so - that is an outright lie that is based entirely on other media reports, which were also lies. Many of the people who moved to this region, which is South East Queensland, and not Central Queensland, moved with the rest of their families.

“Louise "Luli" Faber, a 39-year-old former neuroscientist from the UK, is the owner of this house. She first heard about Miller in 2010, when she was working at the Queensland Brain Institute at the University of Queensland. Leading me into the kitchen, she invites me to help myself from the dishes of vegetarian food the group has prepared for my visit. Miller is a vegetarian and, as a result, his followers are now, too.”

“Faber tells me she was in her 20s when she first started to feel disillusioned with the course of her research and changed her focus to studying the workings of religiosity on the brain, a decision her colleagues mocked.”

As I have explained above, I do not have “followers”, but rather present Divine Truth to any person who is interested. Many of the comments by Matthew Siegel are merely factually wrong, and demonstrate the lack of interest he showed in actually discovering the truth about us during the interviews. The people who Matthew Siegel spoke to when he came to visit are my friends.

Luli first got introduced to the Divine Truth teachings in 2008, not 2010. While she was interested in spirituality for some years prior to that, it was not until 2010, when she was in her mid 30s, rather than her mid 20s, when she started to study the effects of spiritual practices on the brain. Before that her research was mainstream and well-respected, and focused on how emotions are processed in the brain. These factual errors, together with the comment about her colleagues “mocking her” are not true, and are attempts to discredit her and her research as a neuroscientist. While some of her colleagues did judge her decision, many were very supportive of her change in research direction, when she chose to study the effects of spirituality on the brain, and in fact it was even suggested by the Director of the Queensland Brain Institute that she should explore that field of research. Matthew Siegel is merely attempting to discredit my teachings by discrediting the people who listen to me.

Matthew Siegel also makes a comment here about the fact that myself, Mary, and many of my friends are vegetarians, a point which he laboured over during the interviews. We are not vegetarians, but vegans (another factual error). We feel that it is not loving to kill animals to eat them, when we can live perfectly healthily from a vegan diet. Matthew seemed to think that we were hypocritical to not eat meat and yet have modern technology in our homes. To me that is not a logical argument because on the one hand we are talking about destroying an animal’s life unnecessarily, while on the other we are living in a level of personal comfort and using technology in a way that can help people change their lives permanently, by connecting to God and growing in Love and Truth.

Also, any decision by any person who listens to me to not eat meat was made of their own accord, and not as a result of “following me”. I do not tell people what to eat or drink, just as I do not tell them what to do with any other aspect of their lives. I do, however, present to them

Media Response Report

what are more loving choices they can make if they wish to act more in harmony with God's Love and Truth. However I also emphasize the importance of releasing the emotional reasons why they might want to act unlovingly, rather than just changing unloving actions without addressing the cause.

"The house, which is well lit and airy, has a welcoming air. The living room's centrepiece is a massive flat-screen TV which appears to be flanked by more copies of Battlestar Galactica than the educational DVDs Miller distributes free of charge (there are, allegedly, 100,000 copies in circulation). Several people have told me they first came to Miller's teachings through the DVDs."

During his time in Luli's home, Matthew went into another room and looked through all of her DVDs, which are not next to her TV. Then when he came out and commented about how much he loved "Battlestar Galactica", to which Luli replied that she thought it was okay. There is even a purposeful distortion as to the facts about where Luli's DVD's are stored. I do not see how lying about such facts can ever make a point. Interestingly this gets a mention in the article as another means to discredit people who listen to me; to suggest that they are obsessively into science fiction and fantasy, and are not able to discern facts and Truth for themselves.

Mr Siegel needs to learn to stop lying in order to present a hypothesis. No suggestions based upon lies are ever going to be very convincing.

"Miller, who's nearing 50, has shoulder-length hair and a muscular build. Today, he's wearing a wrinkled Billabong jumper and appears not to have shaved in several days. He flashes a megawatt smile, though and, for a moment, it's possible to see why followers such as Shakhanov describe him and his influence in such breathless terms."

Again Matthew Siegel is belittling the people who listen to me in this comment, suggesting that they are drawn to the teachings merely because of the way I look or smile. Lena Shakhanov is an intelligent woman who is able to discern the quality of the teachings from something that might just appear aesthetically pleasing, or shallow, as the comment suggests.

"Nowhere is this blind faith more obvious than on a 242-hectare property on the other side of Chinchilla Wondai Road. Angela Griffiths and her partner Robert, both acolytes of Miller, look after the property - bought by a group of Miller's followers, allegedly on his orders - and are building a learning centre for God's Way of Love that at present is little more than foundations and a wooden skeleton. They live in a tin shed on the property with their three children, who are aged 16, 14 and 11."

Miller and Luck directed them to "restore Eden", Angela tells me with a faraway look in her eyes as we walk through a field of tall grass. "When we're in a more loving condition and don't need laws or rules, there could be a hundred families living here," she says in a monotone."

Having "blind faith" is the exact opposite to what I teach. The Divine Truth teachings focus on having personal experiences in order to discover truth, and highlight the inadequacies of learning something intellectually in terms of having a beneficial effect on one's life. I teach that we need to experiment with the teachings and need to have experiences in order to gain faith, because true faith is established only by the experience of facts. I have recently given a talk about this: "20130511 Relationship with God - Faith & Prayer S1".

I also did not "order" anybody to buy the property that is now the Cushnie Learning Centre. Another complete fabrication, and unfortunately the article is littered with them. A group of people decided that they wished to buy the property, and asked me for advice as a friend. It is now in that capacity that I give advice to Angela and Robert Griffiths, rather than "directing them" to do anything, since they have a passion for the environment and restoring ecosystems that have been very damaged by logging, grazing and fire over the past few hundred years. The

Media Response Report

project is at a very early stage of development, and at the time of Matthew Siegel's visit, Angela and Rob were living in a shed with their children while their house was being built. That house is now completed.

I did not instruct anyone to "restore Eden" as Mr Siegel fabricates, trying to make my interest in eco-systems linked somehow to the Bible record of the first human parents, and implying that I control how others use their own properties. Obviously restoring an ecosystem is something that will take time, particularly using the new, experimental techniques that we are developing that address fixing the cause of the ecological problems rather than just attempting to make the property aesthetically pleasing. However Matthew Siegel is condescending and derogatory to both the project and my friends who are passionate about it, not understanding or being interested in the principles underlying what they are hoping to do. I describe some of these principles in the talks "Creating Loving Ecosystems" and more information about the learning centre can be found here: <http://cushnie.godswayoflove.net/blog/>

The comments in the article are also written in a way to make me sound very controlling, which I am not. I just give advice to my friends about their property when they ask for it.

"Although Miller insists he has no direct involvement with the property, Sims says he remembers things more like Angela. "I was still on the reservation when the property purchase was initiated," he tells me, meaning that he was still a believer. "He wanted them to purchase that. There was another, more desirable, property, but it wasn't right next to where he lives.""

Dean Simms is a person I met over 5 years ago for 2 days. Dean has had no personal involvement with me since then, and in fact demonstrates a lot of hatred towards me fairly constantly. I had no involvement in the purchase of the property, except being asked for my opinions, which I gave. Almost everyone involved with the original purchase of the property completely ignored my opinions, and I continued to treat each of them in the same manner as I had before they purchased the property. I did not agree with most of their actions after they purchased the property, but since during that time I was not asked for my opinions, I did not give my opinions during that time. It is immaterial to me who purchases what property, and the purchase was instigated and driven by Peter Heibloem and not myself.

As stated above, I do not own the Cushine Learning Centre, and am not directly involved with it, other than to offer advice to my friends when they request it. Most people who know me have told Mr Siegel that, but of course, it does not make for a good article to state the truth. I did not control the initial purchase of the property, and did not veto another property because it wasn't near where I lived. I did not encourage any person to come and live near me, and was quite surprised when people chose to do so. All of these statements are lies, no matter what their source.

"Strangely, given that he maintains a website and conducts seminars on God's Way of Love around the world, Miller insists that, to him, it is immaterial whether or not anyone follows his philosophy. He also professes not to care what happens to the hundred or so people now living around him. "The only person I'm responsible for is myself and whether people make the choice to listen to what I say and attempt to practise it ... I don't feel that I have any responsibility unless I've said something inaccurate or incorrect," he says."

This is a truth in the article; I am not invested in whether people listen to me or not, or whether they implement the teachings in their lives or not. However this does not mean that I don't care about what happens to them; I am just not responsible for what happens to other people, and am only responsible for my own life. The reason I teach Divine Truth to people, and the reason I chose to return from the spirit world to do so, is because I love people and I wish them to have

Media Response Report

as happy lives as possible, and I understand that implementing the Divine Truth teachings in their lives and connecting to God is the best way to do that.

Comments from Dean Sims

"It was into a New Age community in Dallas that Miller and Natalie Lewis - a British woman serving as his helper in the crucial years following his coming out as Jesus - arrived in 2008. (Lewis, who now advertises her services as a psychic and clairvoyant on Psychicstuff.co.uk, also declines to comment, fearing Miller might retaliate "on the astral plane" if she angers him.)

"Early on, he seemed very kosher," Sims tells me from his home in Texas. "I was willing to suspend any disbelief and try it."

"Over time, as more and more followers flocked to Miller and as his tone grew ever more imperious, Sims says he began to have doubts. He had particular difficulty accepting a practice that Miller continues to this day - informing some couples, even married couples, that they are not yoked to their soul mates and they'll never achieve happiness while they remain in their current relationship. (Former followers tell me Miller uses this technique to control the group, splitting up those who fall out of favour and shunning the offending partner, who then either distances himself or herself from whatever behaviour has offended Miller or leaves the group.) Reluctantly, Sims decided to cut off contact with Miller in 2009.

"I wish I was wrong and A. J. was right," he says. "I'd much rather have that be the case."

As with some previous media reports, Matthew Siegel has contacted a man called Dean Sims, who I first met in 2007, and have spent three days with, since he stayed with me in Dallas. I have not had any face to face contact with Mr Simms since then. Dean Sims initially was interested in Divine Truth, but after I gave him some personal feedback in 2009, he became extremely angry with me because he didn't want to accept that feedback, and has since been waging an Internet campaign against me, including many personal attacks on myself and Mary's characters. His withdrawal and subsequent attacks of myself were not triggered by a slow process of developing doubts, but rather by his personal rejection and rage resulting from my comments about his unloving behaviour towards a previous sexual partner; comments which he asked me for.

He is not a reliable source for information because he is so enraged with me, and his viewpoint is therefore highly distorted. However he is presented as a well-balanced person who has seen "both sides of the coin". There are plenty of people who used to listen to me who no longer do, and who are not enraged with me, who the media could interview to get different opinions as to why they have chosen to not follow the Divine Truth teachings in their lives. However Dean has often pursued the media as a way to express his feelings and anger towards me and Dean is addicted to having people listen to him.

Dean has not "cut off contact" with me or Mary, because he often still sends us abusive emails. So there was nothing "reluctant" about him cutting off contact, or him being disappointed about the teachings not working out for him.

I have no desire to attack Natalie Lewis at any level, and Mr Siegel's comments whether actually quoted from Natalie or not, are inaccurate. When we were in Greece together Natalie Lewis chose to violently swear and curse at me repeatedly because I did not wish to do what she wanted, and, as a result, I terminated any involvement with her until, as I said to her, she could "be in a more loving space". There are many witnesses to that event. She afterwards also reverted to attacking me in writing, and I did not retaliate at any level, either in the physical or "on the astral plane" as she (Natalie) or he (Matthew) claims I would do.

The comments about me splitting up relationships because the couples are not soulmates are completely false, as are the suggestions that I shun one person in a relationship over another. If people attempt to treat me in an unloving manner, I do not spend time with them. However, I still spend time with other members of the same family if they continue to treat me in a loving manner. I encourage people to treat everyone lovingly, including their families. I reject the concept that I should personally put up with people treating me badly, and if people choose to do such a thing, then I do not wish to see them in my life until they behave differently.

Therefore I would never either personally retaliate or encourage others to retaliate against unloving behaviour, as is suggested in the quote about my relationship with Natalie Lewis. I encourage people to do what I do, and that is withdraw from people who are unloving until such a time as their behaviour changes.

Most people who listen to my teachings are still in the same relationships that they were in when they met me. There are some people who listen to my teachings who have left their relationships, but they have done so on their own accord, not due to any direct consultation that I have had with them. I have never encouraged a person to leave their partner unless their partner is violent. In the few instances where both people in a couple were listening to Divine Truth and have since parted, for most both parties still attend the seminars and are generally on good terms with each other.

It is rare for me to counsel people about their personal relationships, especially when both parties are not present. I do not teach people to leave their marriages, but I do help people to examine within themselves what is going on emotionally for themselves, and within their marriage. On some occasions this causes people to re-evaluate whether they would like to stay in the marriage that they are in, and to examine whether their marriage is still based on love.

I believe that when people practice my teachings their relationships with others become more real (in that each person will be much more honest with each other than before), and therefore more loving. By far the majority of people in relationships have thanked me for helping their relationship grow stronger.

Of course, being truthful sometimes results in friction, because people are not used to hearing the full truth from each other. Many people, once hearing the teachings, confess things that they have done to their partners or families that the family was unaware of. This will, of course, mean that the family will face some emotions to feel as a result of them now knowing the truth. My teachings encourage others to only be truthful with each other in a loving manner. This means you would be loving to yourself as well as the other person, and it will not be what the world defines as love.

Accusations about my marriage

"His marriage to Sheree did not survive this epiphany, however. She now lives in Adelaide where she has remarried and works as a housekeeper. She has declined requests for comment, telling me she doesn't want to jeopardise her relationship with the two grown sons she shares with Miller."

Mr Siegel suggests that the reason my marriage with Sheree stopped was due to my "epiphany" about being Jesus. However, my marriage with Sheree broke up 7 years prior, and we had been divorced for 7 years before I went through the process of understanding who I am.

Media Response Report

Also, there is nothing I would do to jeopardise my ex-wife's relationship with her own sons. Any damage done in those relationships can only be the result of Sheree's own behaviour and conduct. Historically she did many things to attempt to damage my own relationship with my sons, Tristan and Caleb, along with using ministers of the Jehovah's Witness faith to attempt to prevent my sons from wanting to see me, but all of these attempts failed, and my sons and I have an excellent relationship with each other.

My sons have been naturally sensitive about their mother's own behaviour towards them, because of what happened during the period of our marriage breakup, during which my ex-wife attempted to destroy my relationship with my sons. They are going through the process of forgiving her for what she has done, and both of my sons still speak to and spend time with their mother.

Accusations relating to money and finances

“Over time, their relationship [with Dean Sims] became close. Miller wanted to spread his message but, to do so, he needed recording equipment to make videos of his sermons, and recording equipment costs money. Sims, who says that Miller's spiritual presence held an almost magnetic grip on him, was only too happy to help.

“You don't have to give him money but if you want access, the quickest way to get his attention is to give him a donation,” says Sims. “I used to send him money monthly. I bought the recording equipment he uses.” Sims estimates that he gave Miller just under \$4000 during that first year.”

“Miller claims he doesn't demand that his followers give him money, but some of them, like Sims, maintain that making a contribution is the most effective way to gain access to him.

And in the telephone interview:

“I spoke with one former follower of his, a guy called Dean Sims, in Texas, in America, and he said to me that it was very clear that giving him money was the best way to get his attention, although he doesn't really solicit very actively. People who give him money get more access.”

Dean Simms and I have never been "close" as suggested in the article. I cannot see how anyone who has spent time with me for 3 days in our one and only meeting can be called "close". Mr Simms gave me the funds to purchase a recorder (\$500) for his own personal benefit. I purchased the recorder, and, ironically, it was because of a recording made for him about his own behaviour using his own recorder that caused Mr Simms to get angry with me. I did not personally benefit from the donation; I have since donated the recorder to another person because it was not suitable for our sound recordings.

It is a complete lie that people who donate to Mary and I are given special treatment, or “more access” or more “attention”. Many people who I see regularly do not give us any donations at all, and on occasions when people donate to me and I can feel a demand for something in return, I will return the donation because it is not being given in the nature of a gift; which is a true expression of love.

Dean Sims did donate less than \$1,000 in total to me, but with the caveat that I purchase the sound equipment for recording for his own personal benefit, which I did. His donations could never be said to be given as a gift for my personal use. I also doubt that Dean Simms would actually claim that he gave me nearly \$4,000 as stated by Matthew, and so therefore can only assume that this is another fabrication by Mr Siegel.

Media Response Report

“Several of Miller's followers in Kingaroy tell me they frequently make small donations, either in person or through his website. The donations seem to explain a great deal about how Miller and Luck are able to maintain a comfortable lifestyle despite not working. It also goes a long way to explaining how they afford the plane fares and hotels on their frequent proselytising trips overseas, most often to the US, the UK and the Caribbean.”

Our trips overseas are not "proselytising trips". We are invited to travel and speak by people in those countries who have previously discovered the Divine Truth over the internet, and those people who invited us pay for our expenses to go, and billet us or arrange accommodation for us, since we do not have enough funds of our own to travel overseas. So other people actually ask us to come to their location, and also pay for us to go. It is rare for us to get any personal time while travelling, since most of our time is spent discussing the Divine Truth with our hosts, and so we actually enjoy being home. The suggestion that we are somehow jet-setters enjoying the free travel provided by other people is another absurd claim, as anyone who knows us would understand.

All of my presentations, and all of the Divine Truth that we distribute, are provided for free. While there is a donation box at the back of the room when I give seminars, there is no obligation for people to donate, and there is no collection. Without donations freely given, we cannot continue doing our work. Mary and I do live off the donations given by people, but as stated above we will also return donations to people if we feel that they are not given in the spirit of love or if there is any kind of requirement of us in return, since this is not a true gift. Nor do we accept donations from people who are short of money themselves. I give the gift of my time to others. Some of them feel to give me a gift in return, and the mechanism for that to happen is provided, but the gift is not solicited. Mary and I also declare these gifts as income to the government, and pay taxation on the gifts we receive, contrary to other people's claims.

We do a large amount of work on a daily basis. To claim differently is ludicrous, and is only a suggestion to the public that we spend all of our days on our backside while people give us money. The whole idea is laughable.

I am a qualified computing professional, and Mary is a qualified Occupational Therapist. If we do not receive enough gifts to survive, we are able to work in a different way to obtain income. However, at the moment, through the generosity of many of those who listen to our teachings, we receive enough gifts from people to live and travel to teach the Divine Truth to others, free of charge. I challenge any person who criticizes me in this regard to setup their own life in the same manner, and see what emotions are challenged within themselves to live such a life before they criticize Mary and I about how we receive funds to support our life.

I am actually being criticized for something that demonstrates more personal courage and ethics than the media are capable of demonstrating in their own personal lives. The media is willing to lie as Matthew Siegel is doing in his article, in order to make money and get publicity. Apparently Mr Siegel believes his actions are more ethical than my own. Apparently a person who does things for free is more untrustworthy than a person who is lying for money. Such is the world we live in.

In the first century, we also received donations. This is clearly expressed even in the Bible. It is interesting again that the Jesus of the first century is allowed to receive donations without criticism, but the modern day Jesus is treated as if he is untrustworthy for doing so.

In addition, the media itself seems to only report on matters that will increase its own reader base, and therefore potentially increase its own revenue through advertising. There is a general public fear about organizations taking money from individuals or about being ripped off financially, and I can understand why such fears exist when there are many persons who are

Media Response Report

willing to treat other people badly when it comes to financial matters. Mr Siegel himself is lying publicly for money. In my situation, the media only wishes to connect to this fear, rather than present the truth that I am an ethical man when it comes to financial matters, as all persons who deal with me financially will attest to.

“It's impossible to know just how much money Miller has accepted from his followers because the organisation doesn't release financial reports.”

And in the telephone interview:

“No I do not think that he is Jesus and I do not think that she is Mary Magdalene. I think that he's a fairly bright guy who is quite charismatic and has come up with a really good... you know, a really lucrative system.”

This is a lie. Mary and I disclose all of our personal finances, and the finances associated with Divine Truth, and these are available to view on the Divine Truth website, and on the Divine Truth FAQ YouTube Channel. We place our yearly taxation records on our website on the donations page and on Youtube to be examined by any person who is willing to know the Truth about our personal finances.

When Matthew Siegel interviewed us last September those reports were not yet on the website, but we were publicly open with how we spent the donations, and uploaded those reports onto the website in December 2012 (4 months before his accusations). I have also given a number of short interviews as part of our frequently asked questions on the Divine Truth website and on the Divine Truth FAQ YouTube site, outlining in detail what our financial income and expenditure (http://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLE-RF2VTnr9j96DXJ-PV4icdg_wBv6dm6). It is not “lucrative” as Matthew suggests, but rather is sufficient for Mary and I to live very modestly, and to travel around the world to teach the Divine Truth.

Comments about Earth changes

“They believe, among other things, that the planet will soon undergo a series of cataclysmic events, called Earth Changes, in which billions of people will die. The events, as Miller has described them, would not be unlike those depicted in the apocalyptic Hollywood thriller 2012, starring John Cusack. In fact, Miller has used it as a prop in his online seminars about "the end times".”

I do not believe or teach that there will be any doomsday or apocalyptic end of the world. In fact, I have publicly expressed that I believe the future can be very good for all humanity, but that this will depend upon what humanity collectively chooses. I do feel that events will take place on the Earth that could be severe in terms of geographical changes. However I do not believe that this is the end of the world or the end of human civilisation or life.

My teachings focus on encouraging people to release their fears by experiencing them, since our fears prevent us from forming a close relationship with God. So I encourage people to focus on all of their fears, such as fears about what other people think of them, or fears about speaking the truth, as well as external fears such as fears of what changes might happen to the Earth in the future.

The comment is referring to a presentation where I was encouraging people to focus on their fears about Earth changes, and I suggested that the film “2012” may be useful to trigger those fears. It is written order to manipulate the public into thinking that I have formed a doomsday cult, so that they can liken me to people in the past who actually have formed doomsday cults. In fact I have spent very little time in my seminars talking about Earth changes. Out of approximately 900 hours of presentations that are currently on YouTube, I have probably only

Media Response Report

spoken about Earth changes for a total of about 10 hours, or less than 1% of all of the material I have presented. The talk where I encouraged people to focus on their fears, can be viewed in full on YouTube and is entitled "20091024 The Human Soul – Fear Revisited".

"Miller has already incorrectly predicted the date of what he calls "Earth Changes" - a series of global cataclysms - at least three times. On each occasion he pushes the date back further and on each occasion his followers accept that communicating with spirits is not an exact science. In May 2011, for example, he claimed on Twitter that "a big awakening" was coming in 2012, while in September 2011 he warned of "100-foot tidal waves" turning Kingaroy into beachfront property. He then claimed last September that the "Earth Changes" would be coming in early 2013."

And in the telephone interview:

"You need to prepare for what he calls Earth changes, which essentially is a sort of woolly kind of apocalypse narrative. He used the blockbuster Hollywood film 2012 with John Cusak as an example of what these might look like with the cities falling into the ocean and that kind of stuff. And in fact his property... his property that he had his followers purchase outside of Kingaroy, where I went, was chosen because when the oceans rise and the cities fall away he expects it to be beachfront property."

There are so many inaccuracies here. Firstly I do not have a twitter account, and never have had one, and have never claimed anything on any subject on twitter or any other social network. I do not have a Twitter account or a Facebook account, and I do not use any other form of social media, so any reports of my posts on those sites are incorrect.

I have also never claimed that a "big awakening" would come in 2012. I have never claimed that Kingaroy would become beachfront property. I have never claimed that Earth Changes would come in 2013. I have personal opinions on these subjects that I give to people who personally ask, but I warn each person listening that these things are just my own opinions and are not a part of the Divine Truth that I teach.

I believe that so-called Earth changes happen cyclically, and there is physical evidence for these changes occurring in the past. Each time in the past when I have mentioned Earth changes I have reminded people that these are my opinions at that moment, and these opinions will obviously change as I become more progressed in love. I do not believe myself to be in condition to make accurate prophecies about the future, and I have stated this publicly many times when I have been asked to estimate the date of Earth changes. In fact, it is impossible for anyone not completely connected to God to make any accurate prediction about the future. Anybody who states I am making firm prophecies about the future has not listened to my very many comments about my own personal condition and abilities.

The statements that I have made about the timing of Earth changes are dependent upon my feelings of the time, which is not the same as the facts that I know for certain, such as the Divine Truths that I teach. These opinions that I have given are also mine, and not those of spirits as reported in the article. The timing of potential events is dependent I feel on a number of factors, including the collective soul condition of every person on Earth (seven billion people), every spirit person who is attached to the Earth (twenty one billion people), the effects of increasing amounts of Divine Love that are being pumped into the Universe by God, and how people respond to this love, together with how long it will take me to become at-one with God. I am not able to predict these factors accurately at this time, and have said so each time in the past when I have been asked.

I certainly did not manipulate any person into thinking that the Kingaroy region would be some kind of safe haven created by God for people during an apocalypse. I have told many people that they cannot be safe until they address their own fears, which attract unsafe events. I do

Media Response Report

not personally believe that the region will become a beachfront either. I have heard others jokingly say such things, but it is not something I personally believe.

Closing comments in the article

“In the end, I'm not able to ask Miller about Sims's concerns, or why people seem afraid to talk to me about him. When I leave Kingaroy, it is all smiles and handshakes. Seven hours later, though, as I land in Sydney and turn on my mobile, I find a 1554-word email from him [AJ] telling me I've caused deep offence to the group and I'm to have no further contact with him or his followers until I've learnt some respect.”

“Over the next few weeks, I receive almost 20,000 words in hostile emails from more than half a dozen of Miller's followers. Perhaps Miller didn't like being challenged. Perhaps it had to do with my scepticism regarding his Earth Changes.”

And in the telephone interview:

“When I got back to Sydney there was the beginnings of a lengthy email harassment campaign from Jesus and his followers.”

It seems that Matthew Siegel is given to counting the words of emails, rather than actually listening to or responding to the content. The communication that I shared with Matthew Siegel following the interview is available to read on the Divine Truth website. Mr Siegel only has himself to blame for not being able to address further "concerns" with me, and, after this article, I would suggest that I have little desire to speak to him when he has proven to me his own willingness to lie about almost all matters.

After Matthew's first interview, Mary and other friends pointed out to me that he had been unethical in his interactions with me. As I explained in the emails to him, Matthew had not treated myself, Mary, or my friends ethically, and therefore I and they decided that we no longer wished to spend more time with him. They decided this before I did.

I did not state that he had caused deep offence, since I did not feel offended. Rather he had not treated us in a way that he himself would like to be treated; in other words, he judged, condescended towards us and attacked us in our own homes, and therefore out of self love we did not wish to conduct any further interviews with him. If I had visited his own home, and attempted similar things, I am sure he would have reacted far more than I did. It had nothing to do with Earth changes, but rather his general attitude of attacking our beliefs and opinions, without desiring to hear any of our responses, and his willingness to falsify our statements even when we were present, even though he was a guest in our own home.

Mr Siegel's photographer also did not respect these requests, and he trespassed onto a friend's property while we were visiting them, and interrupted a presentation by Mary, for which I was handing around microphones to the audience. When he was asked to leave, he continued to take photographs. He also faked leaving, and returned illegally taking photographs with a telephoto lens, and then had to be asked again by the owners of the property to leave. Such behaviour is also obviously unethical.

I did not initiate any kind of email campaign against Mr Siegel, as Mary explains in her email to him following the interview. My friends found his behaviour towards them unloving and unethical, and some of them wished to express this to him following in the interview. They did so independently of my input or advice. To call it a harassment campaign is a gross exaggeration (perhaps his article and subsequent interviews about us could also be called harassment of ourselves), and my understanding is that Matthew Siegel continued

Media Response Report

correspondence with some of my friends for some time in a bid to get more information for the article that might suit the story he wished to present.

"Ultimately, the experience has left me with a sense of foreboding. I feel concerned for Miller's followers. "When you keep making these predictions about Earth Changes and nothing happens, you're painting yourself into a corner," Sims tells me. "And, frankly, that scares me."

It's strange that Matthew Siegel now feels worried for my friends, after treating them with so much condescension when he visited. He has no concern for any of my so-called "followers" except in order to gain financially out of making false statements about their personal situations.

All of the people he spoke to are intelligent people; they are not under any kind of manipulative spell or control of mine, and have chosen to move to this region and follow their desires on their own accord, and not due to any form of control or even advice from myself and Mary. All of them continue to listen to the teachings and attempt to implement them in their lives because they have already experienced the positive effects of doing so, and so have faith that if they continue to do so their lives will continue to improve.

I don't feel I am "painting myself into a corner" when I talk about Earth changes. I am quite happy to make mistakes when it comes to what I know and what I don't know, and I have repeatedly stated that I don't know for certain what will happen in the future, and that includes when and if Earth changes occur. I simply go by my feelings if I am asked about it, and I am honest with my opinions even when they may be wrong, unlike many other persons who are in the public eye.

Dean Sims is in a state of rage with me, does not fear for my friends, and is not scared. He relishes any perceived mistake I make as he feels it gives him an opportunity to attempt to publicly degrade me. He also personally degrades many of my friends. He regularly sends abusive emails to myself and Mary. He has no love or concern for people who know me or who are listening to me, and he regularly demonstrates his abusive manner towards them in public on internet forums.

I only feel I have made a mistake when I have acted out of harmony in love, and this is God's perception of making a mistake; as opposed to giving a personal opinion about something that may or may not happen in the future.

Conclusion

The lies, misrepresentations, and factual errors contained within this article are outstanding given that Mr Siegel had more than 6 months to perform his "research", and I am amazed that such writings can pass for ethical journalism. It seems to me to be a good example of bad journalism.

Representatives of the media seem intent on making false claims, believing their own false claims, and then hoping that the public will believe their false claims and misrepresentations, and one must wonder what the underlying purpose for such resolute distortions and poor reporting can be when it comes to the media reporting about my own life.

I find it ironic that members of the media are doing exactly what they claim I am doing, and yet the public seems intent on allowing them to get away with such poor behaviour, while at the same time seems intent on believing their false claims about my own behaviour.

Media Response Report

Perhaps the public believes that the media "would not lie". However, it has been our personal experience that up until Mr Siegel's time with us that all the representatives of the media seem to lie for their own benefit; and Mr Siegel has been no exception.

I do personally believe, however, that not all of the media demonstrate such poor ethics, and Mary and I continue to remain optimistic that we shall in the future meet some media representatives that have a love for more ethical and accurate journalism.